

File With _____

SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO: ABP 314485-22Defer Re O/H ☐Having considered the contents of the submission dated received 02/04/2024
fromRachel Keane I recommend that section 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000
be not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s): no new material issuesE.O.: Pat BDate: 18/04/2024

For further consideration by SEO/SAO

Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage. ☐Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply. ☐

S.E.O.: _____

Date: _____

S.A.O.: _____

Date: _____

M _____

Please prepare BP _____ - Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached
submission

to: _____ Task No: _____

Allow 2/3/4 weeks – BP _____

EO: _____

Date: _____

AA: _____

Date: _____

File With _____

CORRESPONDENCE FORM

Appeal No: ABP 314485

M _____

Please treat correspondence received on 02/04/2024 as follows:

1. Update database with new agent for Applicant/Appellant _____

2. Acknowledge with BP 233. Keep copy of Board's Letter ☐

1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP _____

2. Keep Envelope: ☐3. Keep Copy of Board's letter ☐Amendments/Comments Rachel Keane response to S.13112/03/2024 02/04/24 ✓

4. Attach to file

(a) R/S ☐(d) Screening ☐(b) GIS Processing ☐(e) Inspectorate ☐(c) Processing ☐RETURN TO EO ☐

	Plans Date Stamped ☐
	Date Stamped Filled in ☐
EO: <u>Pat B</u>	AA: <u>Anthony McNally</u>
Date: <u>18/04/2024</u>	Date: <u>25/04/2024</u>

Stephen Sutton

From: Bord
Sent: Tuesday 2 April 2024 12:50
To: Appeals2
Subject: FW: Case No ABP-314485-22 Dublin Airport
Attachments: IMG_6705.jpeg; IMG_6706.jpeg; IMG_6707.jpeg

From: Rachel King <racheljudithking@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 2, 2024 12:16 PM
To: Bord <bord@pleanala.ie>
Subject: Case No ABP-314485-22 Dublin Airport

Caution: This is an **External Email** and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Dear Sir/Madam,

Further to the correspondence to me on the case above I wish to make the observations/submissions as attached.

Regards, Rachel

An Bord Pleanála
64, Marlborough St
Dublin 1
D01 V902

2nd April 2024
Rachel Keene
Kilcorkan
The Ward
Co. Dublin D11 A26

Re: Case Number ABP-314485-22 Relevant Action
Application Dublin Airport

Dear Sir / Madam,

Further to your correspondence to me on the
Case above, I wish to make the following
observations / submissions:

1] I am shocked to observe the scale of the
noise contours that have been submitted and
surprised to find that the DAA are now
acknowledging that they are flying overhead
after two years of ignoring that fact. I
note that there was no notice in any of
the planning notices for this application to date
- is this acceptable? Why have noise results
from the last two years not been submitted -
are figures being manipulated? It would seem
that the DAA's noise predictions are not
accurate at this point

2] Reference is made to noise zones on the Fingal
development plan. These noise zones must
now be revised due to the proposed flight
path over our area. Fingal County Council
consider that there should be no residential

development allowed in noise zone A as it is considered harmful to health or otherwise considered unacceptable due to high levels of aircraft noise. However the flight path now being operated by the OAA places many existing houses in Noise Zones A + B which is unacceptable.

3] The noise insulation grant as proposed is not fit for purpose, it is totally insufficient to protect for night noise. Measurements of noise in bedrooms of houses already insulated indicate that the noise levels exceed the recommendation in Final Development Plan and are not sufficient to protect human health.

4] We note that the OAA have never carried out significant test criteria within any of the EIA that they have submitted and therefore have not complied with the EIA directive. The EIA directive states that all significant impact on environment must be identified, quantified and mitigation measures proposed. This has not happened.

5) In summary, planning is clearly an afterthought for the OAA and something that they feel they do not need to comply with. Their actions show that they do not respect planning legislation or the decisions of An Bord Pleanála - in fact they make a mockery of the whole process.
This application must be refused.

Yours sincerely

Rachel Keane

RACHEL KEANE

2nd April 2024

Kilcockan

The Wand

Co. Dublin

D11 A263.